

## **Models of Delivering Parent Representation**

The [Washington State Office of Public Defense \(OPD\)](#) provides legal representation to indigent parents in child welfare proceedings. The program was created more than a decade ago following an investigative report showing that indigent parents throughout the state typically received poor legal representation in dependency and termination cases. Now operating in 83% of the state, the Parents Representation Program provides state-funded attorneys for indigent parents, who have legally mandated rights to counsel. These attorneys are contracted by OPD, which oversees performance, limits caseloads and provides resources.

The OPD designed and implemented standards specifically for dependency and termination case representation, uniquely blending a counselor at law approach with traditional practice techniques. The standards require OPD contract attorneys to meet and communicate regularly with their parent clients throughout the case, ensure their clients have adequate access to services and visitation, prevent continuances and delays within their control, prepare cases well, and attempt to negotiate agreements and competently litigate if no agreement is reached. Reasonable caseloads are set at no more than 80 open cases per full-time attorney (equivalent to about 60 parents).

The program has been favorably evaluated six times. In 2010, in consultation with the Washington State Center for Court Research, OPD published a report on the court records and court orders in 1,817 dependency cases prior to and after implementation of the Parents Representation Program. The comparison found significant differences in the rate of reunification. Cases commenced after the program was implemented achieved permanency 36.5% more often than those that were commenced prior to representation under the program began.

A 2011 study by the University of Washington, which conducted the study at DSHS's request, found that after the Parents Representation Program was instituted in various counties, cases were decided between one month and one year faster. The study concluded that the program is helpful in getting children out of foster care and into permanent homes that it should be extended statewide. The reduction of time that children spend in care has been attributed as saving the state hundreds of thousands of dollars.

[The Detroit Center for Family Advocacy](#) provides legal and social work advocacy for parents to ensure that children do not needlessly enter foster care. The Center receives referrals directly from child welfare agencies to help at-risk families resolve legal issues that directly impact the child's safety in the home. For example, a mother may need assistance resolving a housing issue against a landlord. A domestic violence victim may need assistance obtaining a restraining and child custody order against an abusive ex-husband. Or a father may need an advocate to ensure that a school is providing the right services to a child with special needs. The model is based on a fundamental belief that early intervention by a multidisciplinary legal team can prevent kids from unnecessarily entering foster care.

A three year evaluation conducted between 2009 and 2012 confirmed the efficacy of the model. During the evaluation period, the Center served 110 children for whom the child protective services had substantiated child abuse or neglect. The CFA was to use legal tools and

advocacy, supported by social workers, to safely prevent removal. Not one of those children entered foster care—reducing trauma to the child and family and also avoiding thousands of dollars in costs for each child. The Center achieved its legal objectives in 98.2 percent of its prevention cases, and the multidisciplinary approach to addressing problems ensured that these children were able to remain in their homes.

[The Center for Family Representation](#) (CFR) in New York is another example of a comprehensive parent representation model that is achieving notable outcomes. The CFR model provides every parent with an attorney, a social worker, and a parent advocate. Parent advocates are parents who themselves once faced family court prosecution, had their children removed, and were able to successfully reunify their families. Under the CFR model, every parent is surrounded by a team that works together to problem-solve, identify resources, strengths and needs and provide counsel and advice. By combining in-court litigation with out-of-court social work referrals and case-management, individualized service planning, and parent mentoring, CFR dramatically improve outcomes for our families. Former clients of CFR report very high degrees of satisfaction with CFR representation, citing it as essential to their successes and communicating that they truly felt their voices were heard and needs effectively addressed.

### **Models of Delivering Child and Youth Representation**

[KidsVoice](#) in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania is recognized as a national model for multi-disciplinary and holistic approach to child advocacy and legal representation. They are a non-profit agency that advocates in court and in the community to ensure safe and permanency homes for abused, neglected, and at-risk children. Each year, KidsVoice represents nearly 3,000 children involved in the child-welfare system in Allegheny County's Juvenile Court. Child advocacy at KidsVoice goes beyond the traditional child welfare and juvenile court arenas. The staff advocates for clients in educational, medical, mental health and Social Security matters, as well as providing representation for minor criminal citations and for expungement of delinquency records. They also assist the older clients as they pursue college or vocational training opportunities and transition to living independently. Every client is represented by both an attorney and a Child Advocacy Specialist (a social service professional with expertise in social work, mental health, education or child development).

The [Wyoming Guardians Ad Litem Program](#) is a state- and county-funded centralized state office that trains and supervises all attorneys representing children in Juvenile Court in the state. In 2008, the program adopted rules and policy setting practice standards and addressing other related quality indicators like the presence of children and youth in court proceedings, set caseload maximums for all program attorneys, began specialized training for the program attorneys, instituted a quality assurance process, and a multi-tiered evaluation process for program attorneys. From 2008 to 2012, the program underwent an overhaul of the program and brought many of the attorney positions in-house as full-time attorneys or state employees, drastically reducing the number of independent contract attorneys. In 2015, the program released an on-line cases management system to better track compliance with standards, timeliness of proceedings, and outcomes for children and youth.