

Appendix C: Table C-1

States' CHIP Spending Relative to the 10 Percent Limit on Non-Coverage Expenditures Federal Fiscal Year 2017

State	Total CHIP Expenditures ^{1,3} (in millions)			Amount of 10% Cap Funds Used ^{2,3} (in millions)			Available Room Under 10% Cap	Available Funds Under 10% Cap (in millions)
	Total	FY 2019	Federal	State	FY 2020	Federal	Federal	State
Alabama	\$367.4	\$367.4	\$0.0	\$7.4	\$7.4	\$0.0	8%	\$29.3
Alaska	\$33.9	\$29.7	\$4.2	\$3.0	\$2.6	\$0.4	1%	\$0.4
Arizona	\$215.1	\$215.1	\$0.0	\$8.4	\$8.4	\$0.0	6%	\$13.1
Arkansas	\$169.9	\$169.9	\$0.0	\$0.8	\$0.8	\$0.0	10%	\$16.2
California	\$4,118.0	\$3,413.8	\$704.2	\$78.7	\$69.2	\$9.4	8%	\$333.1
Colorado	\$282.0	\$248.5	\$33.5	\$4.5	\$4.0	\$0.5	8%	\$23.7
Connecticut	\$42.5	\$37.5	\$5.1	\$4.2	\$3.7	\$0.5	0%	\$0.0
Delaware	\$38.4	\$34.9	\$3.5	\$1.7	\$1.6	\$0.2	6%	\$2.1
District of Columbia	\$36.6	\$36.6	\$0.0	\$1.9	\$1.9	\$0.0	5%	\$1.7
Florida	\$722.3	\$691.2	\$31.2	\$42.0	\$40.2	\$1.8	4%	\$30.2
Georgia	\$408.4	\$408.6	-\$0.2	\$23.5	\$23.5	\$0.0	4%	\$17.3
Hawaii	\$67.2	\$61.5	\$5.7	\$2.5	\$2.3	\$0.2	6%	\$4.2
Idaho	\$81.7	\$81.7	\$0.1	\$3.4	\$3.4	\$0.0	6%	\$4.8
Illinois	\$270.5	\$243.8	\$26.7	\$22.9	\$20.2	\$2.7	2%	\$4.2
Indiana	\$226.3	\$225.8	\$0.5	\$11.0	\$10.9	\$0.0	5%	\$11.7
Iowa	\$134.9	\$125.1	\$9.8	\$10.5	\$9.8	\$0.8	2%	\$3.0
Kansas	\$113.3	\$103.9	\$9.4	\$9.8	\$9.1	\$0.8	1%	\$1.5
Kentucky	\$214.2	\$213.8	\$0.4	\$5.3	\$5.3	\$0.0	8%	\$16.1
Louisiana	\$370.3	\$357.2	\$13.1	\$14.4	\$13.8	\$0.5	6%	\$22.7
Maine	\$34.9	\$34.2	\$0.7	\$1.0	\$1.0	\$0.0	7%	\$2.5
Maryland	\$363.2	\$319.6	\$43.6	\$17.8	\$15.6	\$2.1	5%	\$18.6
Massachusetts	\$778.4	\$685.8	\$92.6	\$22.2	\$19.5	\$2.7	7%	\$55.6
Michigan	\$263.8	\$259.6	\$4.2	\$2.7	\$2.7	\$0.0	9%	\$23.6
Minnesota	\$13.8	\$13.6	\$0.2	\$1.2	\$1.1	\$0.2	1%	\$0.2
Mississippi	\$262.1	\$262.1	\$0.0	\$3.0	\$3.0	\$0.0	9%	\$23.2
Missouri	\$236.0	\$229.7	\$6.3	\$4.8	\$4.7	\$0.1	8%	\$18.8
Montana	\$101.4	\$100.2	\$1.2	\$5.3	\$5.2	\$0.1	5%	\$4.8
Nebraska	\$90.1	\$81.9	\$8.2	\$2.0	\$1.8	\$0.2	8%	\$7.0
Nevada	\$69.5	\$68.2	\$1.2	\$2.1	\$2.0	\$0.0	7%	\$4.9
New Hampshire	\$35.0	\$30.8	\$4.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	10%	\$3.5
New Jersey	\$530.0	\$466.6	\$63.4	\$14.0	\$12.7	\$1.3	7%	\$39.0
New Mexico	\$107.3	\$107.4	-\$0.1	\$1.8	\$1.8	\$0.0	8%	\$8.9
New York	\$1,445.7	\$1,272.2	\$173.5	\$40.2	\$35.2	\$5.0	7%	\$104.3
North Carolina	\$479.3	\$478.4	\$0.9	\$12.6	\$12.5	\$0.0	7%	\$35.4
North Dakota	\$27.2	\$24.2	\$3.1	\$2.7	\$2.6	\$0.1	0%	\$0.0
Ohio	\$573.4	\$546.1	\$27.3	\$34.2	\$32.9	\$1.3	4%	\$23.2
Oklahoma	\$265.4	\$252.1	\$13.3	\$9.0	\$8.6	\$0.4	7%	\$17.5
Oregon	\$296.5	\$290.7	\$5.9	\$11.3	\$11.0	\$0.3	6%	\$18.4
Pennsylvania	\$682.5	\$609.2	\$73.4	\$11.9	\$10.6	\$1.3	8%	\$56.3
Rhode Island	\$36.0	\$32.7	\$3.3	\$0.9	\$0.8	\$0.1	7%	\$2.7
South Carolina	\$169.1	\$169.1	\$0.0	\$8.4	\$8.4	\$0.0	5%	\$8.5
South Dakota	\$33.6	\$30.7	\$2.9	\$0.5	\$0.5	\$0.0	9%	\$2.9
Tennessee	\$215.4	\$212.1	\$3.4	\$19.9	\$19.6	\$0.3	1%	\$1.6
Texas	\$1,833.6	\$1,692.9	\$140.7	\$60.8	\$56.1	\$4.7	7%	\$122.6
Utah	\$143.6	\$142.9	\$0.7	\$5.7	\$5.7	\$0.0	6%	\$8.7
Vermont	\$13.6	\$12.4	\$1.2	\$1.2	\$1.1	\$0.1	1%	\$0.2
Virginia	\$339.5	\$298.2	\$41.3	\$21.2	\$18.7	\$2.5	4%	\$12.7
Washington	\$154.2	\$135.6	\$18.6	\$2.8	\$2.4	\$0.5	8%	\$12.6
West Virginia	\$66.6	\$66.6	\$0.0	\$3.9	\$3.9	\$0.0	4%	\$2.8
Wisconsin	\$234.9	\$220.8	\$14.2	\$10.7	\$10.1	\$0.7	5%	\$12.8
Wyoming	\$13.6	\$12.0	\$1.6	\$0.4	\$0.4	\$0.0	7%	\$1.0
Total	\$17,822.6	\$16,224.4	\$1,598.2	\$592.1	\$550.2	\$41.9	\$0.1	\$1,190.1

SOURCE: CSSP/Manatt analysis of CMS of FY 2017 Financial Management Reports available <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/data-and-systems/downloads/collection-systems/fmr-chip-expend2017.pdf>

1 Net CHIP Expenditures excluding expenditures related to drug rebates. Also does not include Social Security Act Section 2105(g) expenditures in which certain states receive 100% FMAP CHIP funds for certain Medicaid children.

2 CHIP Expenditures for Administration, Translation and Interpretation, and Outreach

3 Certain states show negative expenditures due to prior period adjustments

Appendix C: Table C-2

Available Federal Funds and Contributions

Federal Fiscal Year 2017

State	Available Funds Under 10% Cap (in millions)	Federal Matching Rate ¹	Federal Funds Available (in millions)	State Contribution Needed (in millions)	Federal Matching Rate ²	Federal Funds Available (in millions)	State Contribution Needed (in millions)
	Total	FY 2019	Federal	State	FY 2020	Federal	State
Alabama	\$29.3	100%	\$29.3	\$0.0	92%	\$26.9	\$2.4
Alaska	\$0.4	88%	\$0.4	\$0.1	77%	\$0.3	\$0.1
Arizona	\$13.1	100%	\$13.1	\$0.0	91%	\$11.9	\$1.2
Arkansas	\$16.2	100%	\$16.2	\$0.0	91%	\$14.9	\$1.4
California	\$333.1	88%	\$293.2	\$40.0	77%	\$254.9	\$78.3
Colorado	\$23.7	88%	\$20.8	\$2.8	77%	\$18.1	\$5.6
Connecticut	\$0.0	88%	\$0.0	\$0.0	77%	\$0.0	\$0.0
Delaware	\$2.1	93%	\$2.0	\$0.1	82%	\$1.7	\$0.4
District of Columbia	\$1.7	100%	\$1.7	\$0.0	91%	\$1.6	\$0.2
Florida	\$30.2	96%	\$28.9	\$1.3	85%	\$25.6	\$4.7
Georgia	\$17.3	100%	\$17.3	\$0.0	89%	\$15.4	\$2.0
Hawaii	\$4.2	91%	\$3.8	\$0.4	79%	\$3.3	\$0.9
Idaho	\$4.8	100%	\$4.8	\$0.0	91%	\$4.4	\$0.4
Illinois	\$4.2	88%	\$3.7	\$0.5	77%	\$3.2	\$1.0
Indiana	\$11.7	99%	\$11.6	\$0.1	88%	\$10.2	\$1.4
Iowa	\$3.0	95%	\$2.8	\$0.1	84%	\$2.5	\$0.5
Kansas	\$1.5	93%	\$1.4	\$0.1	83%	\$1.3	\$0.3
Kentucky	\$16.1	100%	\$16.1	\$0.0	92%	\$14.8	\$1.3
Louisiana	\$22.7	99%	\$22.3	\$0.3	88%	\$20.0	\$2.7
Maine	\$2.5	98%	\$2.5	\$0.0	86%	\$2.2	\$0.3
Maryland	\$18.6	88%	\$16.3	\$2.2	77%	\$14.2	\$4.4
Massachusetts	\$55.6	88%	\$49.0	\$6.7	77%	\$42.6	\$13.1
Michigan	\$23.6	98%	\$23.2	\$0.4	86%	\$20.4	\$3.2
Minnesota	\$0.2	88%	\$0.1	\$0.0	77%	\$0.1	\$0.0
Mississippi	\$23.2	100%	\$23.2	\$0.0	95%	\$22.1	\$1.1
Missouri	\$18.8	99%	\$18.5	\$0.2	87%	\$16.4	\$2.4
Montana	\$4.8	99%	\$4.8	\$0.1	87%	\$4.2	\$0.6
Nebraska	\$7.0	90%	\$6.3	\$0.7	80%	\$5.6	\$1.4
Nevada	\$4.9	98%	\$4.8	\$0.1	86%	\$4.2	\$0.7
New Hampshire	\$3.5	88%	\$3.1	\$0.4	77%	\$2.7	\$0.8
New Jersey	\$39.0	88%	\$34.3	\$4.7	77%	\$29.9	\$9.2
New Mexico	\$8.9	100%	\$8.9	\$0.0	92%	\$8.2	\$0.7
New York	\$104.3	88%	\$91.8	\$12.5	77%	\$79.8	\$24.5
North Carolina	\$35.4	100%	\$35.4	\$0.0	88%	\$31.3	\$4.1
North Dakota	\$0.0	88%	\$0.0	\$0.0	77%	\$0.0	\$0.0
Ohio	\$23.2	97%	\$22.5	\$0.7	86%	\$19.8	\$3.3
Oklahoma	\$17.5	97%	\$16.9	\$0.6	88%	\$15.4	\$2.2
Oregon	\$18.4	97%	\$17.8	\$0.6	84%	\$15.5	\$2.9
Pennsylvania	\$56.3	90%	\$50.5	\$5.9	78%	\$44.0	\$12.4
Rhode Island	\$2.7	90%	\$2.4	\$0.3	79%	\$2.1	\$0.6
South Carolina	\$8.5	100%	\$8.5	\$0.0	91%	\$7.7	\$0.8
South Dakota	\$2.9	93%	\$2.7	\$0.2	82%	\$2.3	\$0.5
Tennessee	\$1.6	99%	\$1.6	\$0.0	87%	\$1.4	\$0.2
Texas	\$122.6	94%	\$114.9	\$7.7	84%	\$103.1	\$19.5
Utah	\$8.7	100%	\$8.7	\$0.0	89%	\$7.8	\$0.9
Vermont	\$0.2	91%	\$0.1	\$0.0	79%	\$0.1	\$0.0
Virginia	\$12.7	88%	\$11.2	\$1.5	77%	\$9.7	\$3.0
Washington	\$12.6	88%	\$11.1	\$1.5	77%	\$9.6	\$3.0
West Virginia	\$2.8	100%	\$2.8	\$0.0	94%	\$2.6	\$0.2
Wisconsin	\$12.8	95%	\$12.1	\$0.7	83%	\$10.6	\$2.2
Wyoming	\$1.0	88%	\$0.8	\$0.1	77%	\$0.7	\$0.2
Total	\$1,190.1		\$1,096.4	\$93.8		\$967.3	\$222.8

SOURCE: CSSP/Manatt analysis of CMS of FY 2017 Financial Management Reports available <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/data-and-systems/downloads/collection-systems/fmr-chip-expend2017.pdf>

1 FY 2019 Enhanced FMAP, as published in 82 Fed Reg 55383 published in <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid-chip-program-information/by-topics/data-and-systems/downloads/collection-systems/fmr-chip-expend2017.pdf>

2 FY 2020 Enhanced FMAP as published in 83 Fed Reg 61157, available at: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2018-11-28/pdf/2018-25944.pdf>